

March 3, 2020

Resolutior	ı No.	

Date Prepared February 13, 2020

Subject

Decision-making topic title

Public Hearing for Bylaw 2020-10 to redesignate 128.74 acres of Plan 081 3350, Block 1, Lot 1 from Industrial General District to Public Utility District.

Recommendation

Clear resolution answering – what/who/how/when

RECOMMENDATION: THAT Council undertake the Public Hearing for Bylaw 2020-10.

GM Comments

Any additional comments regarding the reason for the recommendation

The redesignation supports County economic development and growth strategies because a Public Utility District permits essential services and uses that assist future growth and expansion.

RECOMMENDATION				
Report/Document:	Attached	x	Available	None

Key Issue(s) / Concepts Defined

Define the topic, reference background material and state question to be answered

The Southern Alberta Energy from Waste Association (SAEWA), a non-profit association, expressed interest in the subject site and short listed the County in hosting an energy for waste (EFW) facility. Based on high level information provided by SAEWA, Staff evaluated the subject site and the potential for hosting the SAEWA project and concluded that the best fit for an incinerator and power generating station would fall under the Public Utility District as a "Utility Services, Major Infrastructure" or "Waste Management Facility, Major".

On February 19th, 2020, SAEWA presented the short list evaluation results, where Wheatland County ranked as the least preferred site. Though Wheatland County has not been chosen for the EFW facility, staff is still bringing forward the bylaw to redesignate this parcel to Public Utility District. The redesignation to a Public Utility District still supports the County's economic development strategies by allowing essential services and uses that support growth and expansion of an existing community, as well as allowing the existing uses to continue on the subject site.

The comments received focused on the specifics of the SAEWA development. Staff responded that little information was available and that if the County was chosen, the development permit application would likely answer may of the questions residents were asking. The two letters received have been attached.

Relevant Policy / Practices / Legislation	
•	

Strategic Relevance

SSRP Implementation Plan: Community Development, Land-use Patterns RGMS 4.3,

MDP Policies 3.11, 3.13

Land Use Bylaw 2016-01

Response Options and Desired Outcome(s)

THAT Council undertake the Public Hearing for 2020-10

IMPLICATIONS OF RECOMMENDATION

General

Proceed with consideration of the above bylaw amendments by Council in a timely manner.

Organizational

N/A

Financial

N/A

Environmental, Staff and Public Safety

N/A

Follow-up Action / Communications

Administration will present the RFD for Second and Third Reading if Council approves.

Submitted by:

Megan Williams, BCD


Megan Williams, BCD Planner II Reviewed by:

Matthew Boscariol, MES, MCIP, RPP General Manager of Community & Development Services


Bu Fal

Brian Henderson, CPA, CA

CAO









Plan 081 3350, Block 1, Lot 1

Date: March 3, 2020

Bylaw No. 2020-10

