



WHEATLAND COUNTY

Where There's Room to Grow

Request for Decision

January 14, 2020

Resolution No. _____

Date Prepared December 17, 2019

Subject

Decision-making topic title

Request for reimbursement of costs associated with the redesignation for redesignation application associated with the NW-29-24-24-W4 totaling \$1,993.75.

Recommendation

Clear resolution answering – what/who/how/when

That Council choose Option 1 to reimburse the costs to the landowner

Resolution 1: That Council move to reimburse the costs associated with the redesignation application associated with the NW-29-24-24-W4 totaling \$1,993.75.

GM Comments

Any additional comments regarding the reason for the recommendation

RECOMMENDATION

Report/Document:

Attached



Available



None



Key Issue(s) / Concepts Defined

Define the topic, reference background material and state question to be answered

The first instance of staff inquiring about the trailer business occurred in 2014, when the landowners applied for an accessory use development permit, no follow up was done. In 2016 the landowner applied for another development permit and the inquiry into the business was posed again. Discussions were held with the General Manager of Planning and Development at the time but no written correspondence has been found that explains what was decided. In 2018 another development permit was applied for which triggered the inquiry into the business again. Staff worked diligently with the landowner during this time to ensure the non-compliance issue was addressed and the issues dealt with. Due to the substantial inconvenience to the landowner, staff offered to bring forward a request to Council to reimburse them the fees for the redesignation application.

The fees incurred during the application process are as follows:

\$1,500 for the Direct Control District application
\$21.25 for the Certificate of Title
\$472.50 for the Tentative Plan
\$1,993.75 Total

Relevant Policy / Practices / Legislation

General Policy 3.17.6 Fees, charges and payments:

- a) Upon determination that fees, charges or payments are subject to refund: Authorization: That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to refund fees, charges, or payments less than

\$1,000.00 and that a summary of those refunds which are greater than \$250.00 be presented to Council.

Strategic Relevance

Response Options and Desired Outcome(s)

Option #1: That Council reimburse the costs of the redesignation to the landowner.

Resolution 1 That Council move to reimburse the costs associated with the redesignation application associated with the NW-29-24-24-W4 totaling \$1,993.75.

Option #2: That Council deny the request for reimbursement.

Resolution 1 That Council move to deny the request for reimbursement of the costs associated with the redesignation application associated with NW-29-24-24-W4

Option #3 THAT Council approve an alternate recommendation.

Staff is recommending Option #1 - Approval for the following reason:

- The process has been considerably inconvenient to the landowner, refunding the application fees is one way to compensate them for the inconvenience.

IMPLICATIONS OF RECOMMENDATION

General

Reimburse the landowner \$1,993.75 for their redesignation application.

Organizational

N/A

Financial

The County will reimburse the landowner \$1,993.75.

Environmental, Staff and Public Safety

N/A

Follow-up Action / Communications

If approved, Staff will notify the landowners and reimburse them the \$1,993.75 for their redesignation application.

Submitted
by:



Megan Williams, BCD
Planner II

Reviewed
by:



Matthew Boscariol, MES, MCIP, RPP
General Manager of Community &
Development Services



Brian Henderson, CPA, CA
Interim CAO