

Request for Decision

December 3rd, 2019

Resolution No.

Date Prepared November 8th, 2019

Subject

Decision-making topic title

Bylaw 2019-22 – Second and Third Reading to redesignate +/- 0.29 acres within SE-7-23-25-W4 from Agricultural General District to Country Residential District and +/- 0.79 acres within Plan 001 2255, Block 1 from Country Residential District to Agricultural General District.

Recommendation

Clear resolution answering - what/who/how/when

THAT Council grant Second and Third Reading of Bylaw 2019-22, this being a bylaw for the purpose of amending the Land Use Bylaw 2016-01 to redesignate +/- 0.29 acres within SE-7-23-25-W4 from Agricultural General District to Country Residential District and +/- 0.79 acres within Plan 001 2255, Block 1 from Country Residential District to Agricultural General District, as shown on the attached Schedule 'A'.

GM Comments

Any additional comments regarding the reason for the recommendation

RECOMMENDATION				
Report/Document:	Attached	x	Available	None

Key Issue(s) / Concepts Defined

Define the topic, reference background material and state question to be answered

These parcels received conditional approval for subdivision on July 9, 2019 and at the time of writing the report, all but one condition has been met. One of the conditions for endorsement was for the applicant to apply to redesignate the lands removed from Plan 001 2255, Block 1 to Agricultural General and to redesignate the lands added to Plan 001 2255, Block 1 to Country Residential to align with the new property lines. This bylaw is the result of that application.

The application generally aligns with the objectives, policies and goals of the SSRP, RGMS, and MDP, all of which speak to maintaining and supporting agricultural land base within the region. Conflict between the land uses is not likely, as the configuration has been similar for the last several years. Existing and proposed land uses will align with the proposed land use districts.

Relevant Policy / Practices / Legislation

Strategic Relevance

Response Options and Desired Outcome(s)

Option #1:	THAT Second and Third Reading of Bylaw 2019-22 be granted			
	Motion #1	THAT Bylaw 2019-22 be given Second Reading.		
	Motion #2	THAT Bylaw 2019-22 be given Third Reading.		
Option #2:	THAT Bylaw 2019-22 be refused.			
	Motion #1	THAT First Reading of Bylaw 2019-22 be rescinded.		
Option #3	THAT Council approve an alternate recommendation.			
Staff is recommending Option #1 - Approval for the following reasons:				

Staff is recommending Option #1 - Approval for the following reasons:

- The proposal generally aligns with the SSRP, RGMS and MDP in terms of what is proposed.
- The proposed amendment aligns with the rules and regulations for the Agricultural General (AG) and Country Residential (CR) Districts.

IMPLICATIONS OF RECOMMENDATION General

The land uses will align with the approved subdivision boundaries

Organizational

N/A

Financial

N/A

Environmental, Staff and Public Safety N/A

1 1/7 1

Follow-up Action / Communications

Staff will notify both landowners of Council's decision and will continue to assist the landowners complete the subdivision conditions.

by:

Submitted by:

Reviewed

Matthew Boscariol, MES, MCIP, RPP General Manager of Community & Development Services

An fal

Brian Henderson, CPA, CA Interim CAO

Megan Williams, BCD Planner II