Comment Summary

Date: December 15, 2020

Application: LU2020-20, Agricultural General to Energy District

Staff circulated internally and to external agencies and received a few comments to date and reiterated below.

EXTERNAL AGENCIES	COMMENTS
AB Community and Development	
AB Culture and Tourism	
AB Environment and Parks	
AB Health Services	
AB Transportation	AT has no issues or concerns with the proposed redesignation. In addition, the proposed development does not fall within the control distance of a provincial highway as outlined in the Highways Development and Protection Act / Regulation, and will not require a roadside development permit from Alberta Transportation. Operation of the proposed development should have minimal impact on the highway system. However, the department does expect that the municipality would mitigate negative impacts of traffic generated by developments approved on the local road connection to the highway system, pursuant to <i>Policy 7</i> of the <i>Provincial Land Use Policies</i> and <i>Section 648(2)(c.2)</i> of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i> .
AB Utilities Commission	
Canada Pacific Rail	
Enmax	
Fortis AB	
Lynx Energy	
Telus	
WID	No objections.

INTERNAL DEPARTMENTS	
Agriculture and Environment	
Protective Services	
Public Works	Please ensure the applicant enters into an access easement with the servient landowner of the access road. The applicant should be required to enter into a road use agreement with the County for the duration of the construction project.
Planning & Development	Since the AUC has approved the application, and a review will be completed by CIMA+ and the appropriate County departments (for the road use, etc.), I have no comments other than a variance will need to be applied for once we get to DP stage (based on the current setbacks).